IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 465 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN MFA.1014/2000 Dated 30/10/2006
.....

PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS
----

1. **R.N.SOUMIDA, RAMATH KALAM, VAVULLIAPURAM AMSOM, ALATHUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

2. **R.N.KRISHNANBABU, RAMATH KALAM, VAVULLIAPURAM AMSOM, ALTHUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

3. **R.N.REMA, RAMATH KALAM, VAVULLIAPURAM AMSOM, ALATHUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

4. **R.N.VIJAYAKUMAR, RAMATH KALAM, VAVULLIAPURAM AMSOM, ALATHUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DIST.**

5. **R.N.JAYA, RAMATH KALAM, VAVULLIAPURAM AMSOM, ALATHUR TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.V.GIRI
SRI.P.R.VENKETESH

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS
----

1. **THE GOVT. OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.**

2. **THE CUSTODIAN OF VESTED FORESTS, OLAVAKODE, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

J.B.KOSHY & M.N.KRISHNAN, JJ.

R.P.NO.465 OF 2007 IN
M.F.A.No.1014 OF 2000

Dated 4th June, 2007

ORDER

Koshy,J.

The main contention of the petitioners is that the judgment under review is not correctly decided. If there is any illegality, it is for the petitioners to file an appeal against the judgment under review. A review petition cannot be equated to a petition for re-hearing of the matter or an appeal proceedings. We see no ground to review the judgment. The review petition is dismissed.

J.B.KOSHY
JUDGE

M.N.KRISHNAN
JUDGE

tks